UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

SARAH TATRO,

Civil No. 12-1580 (JNE/JJK)

Plaintiff,

٧.

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

OFFICE OF CHIEF COUNSEL, ICE,

Defendant.

Plaintiff commenced this action on June 29, 2012, by filing a civil complaint, and an application seeking leave to proceed in forma pauperis, ("IFP"). (Docket Nos. 1 and 2.) The Court previously examined Plaintiff's submissions, and determined that her complaint was inadequate. Therefore, in an order dated July 3, 2012, (Docket No. 5), the Court informed Plaintiff that her IFP Application would "not be granted at this time." That order gave Plaintiff an opportunity to file an amended complaint, and expressly advised her that if she did not file a new pleading within thirty (30) days, the Court would recommend that this action be dismissed without prejudice pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

The deadline for re-pleading has now expired. To date, however, Plaintiff has not complied with the Court's prior order, nor has she offered any excuse for her failure to do so. Indeed, Plaintiff has not communicated with the Court at all since she filed her complaint. Therefore, it is now recommended, in accordance with the prior order, that this action be dismissed without prejudice pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). See Henderson v. Renaissance Grand Hotel, 267 Fed.Appx. 496, 497 (8th Cir. 2008) (unpublished opinion) ("[a] district court has discretion to dismiss an action under Rule

41(b) for a plaintiff's failure to prosecute, or to comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or any court order"); see also Link v. Wabash Railroad Co., 370 U.S. 626, 630-31 (1962) (recognizing that a federal court has the inherent authority to "manage [its] own affairs so as to achieve the orderly and expeditious disposition of cases").

Based upon the above, and upon all the records and proceedings herein,

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that:

- 1. Plaintiff's Application To Proceed <u>In Forma Pauperis</u>, (Docket No. 2), be **DENIED**; and
 - 2. This action be **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**.

Dated: August 23, 2012

S/ Arthur J. Boylan

ARTHUR J. BOYLAN

United States Magistrate Judge

Pursuant to Local Rule 72.2(b), any party may object to this Report and Recommendation by filing with the Clerk of Court, and by serving upon all parties, written objections which specifically identify the portions of the Report to which objections are made and the bases for each objection. This Report and Recommendation does not constitute an order or judgment from the District Court and it is therefore not directly appealable to the Circuit Court of Appeals. Written objections must be filed with the Court before **September 7**, **2012**.